IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.16885 of 2006
DATE OF DECISION: October 26, 2006

Namisha Malhotra

….Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

…..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. BHALLA

PRESENT: Shri Salil Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

We have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. We have also gone through the averments made in the present petition.

From the perusal of averments made in the present petition, we find that the petitioner, on an earlier occasion, had filed a Civil Writ Petition No.12839 of 2006. Similar reliefs were claimed in the said writ petition, as have been claimed in the present petition. The said writ petition was dismissed as withdrawn on September 14, 2006. Neither any liberty was asked by the petitioner to file a fresh petition on the same cause of action, nor any such liberty was granted. In these circumstances, the present petition filed by the petitioner is wholly mis-conceived and not even maintainable.

Dismissed.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

October 26, 2006 (H.S. Bhalla)
KD Judge